

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DO	CKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,859 11/19/2003		1/19/2003	Hiromi Sakima	245742US2		5595	
22850	7590 12/04/2006			EXAMINER			
C. IRVIN M	LAND CCLELLAND, MAI	KORNAKOV, MICHAIL					
1940 DUKE	CLELLAND, MAI	ART UN	T	PAPER NUMBER			
ALEXANDR	22314	1746					

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
	10/715,859	SAKIMA, HIROMI	A, HIROMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Michael Kornakov	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>9 November 2003</u> .						
_	☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority doc 							
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	·	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage					
application from the International	•	reneived					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	· a list of the certified copies flot i	eceiveu.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
2)		formal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/715,859

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12, drawn to a plasma processing method, classified in class
 134, subclass 22.18.
 - II. Claims 13-19, drawn to an apparatus for performing a plasma processing, classified in class 156, subclass 345.29.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used for surface modification processes, which do not require the presence of plasma, for example for plasmaless gas phase etching, which is materially different from the claimed process.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,859 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,859

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Copy Arow

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

11/28/2006